PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

rom the NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR	RITY		ANS		
To:		PCT PTON			
		-	UTTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
			(PCT Rule 43his.1)		
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	See form PCT/ISA/210		
Applicant's or agent's file reference.		FOR FURTHER	ACTION		
RS 343 WO - AB/CG		See paragraph 2 below			
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/FR2005/000713	25.03.2005		29.03.2004		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both A61 K31/5415, A61 K4					
Applicant SOCIETE DE CONSEILS SCIENTIFIQUES (S.C.R		S ET D'APP	LICATIONS		
This opinion contains indications rel	lating to the following item	S:			
		.			
Box No. 1 Basis of th	ne opinion		·		
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-estab	dishment of opinion with re	with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	nity of invention				
Box No. V Reasoned applicabil	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. I(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
K 7	Certain documents cited				
	efects in the international ap	•			
	bservations on the internation				
International Preliminary Examining than this one to be the IPEA and the this International Searching Author	ng Authority ("IPEA") exce he chosen IPEA has notifie fity will not be so considere	pi that this does not ap d the International Bu d.	ill be considered to be a written opinion of the oply where the applicant chooses an Authority othereau under Rule 66. Ibis(b) that written opinions of the opinion opinion of the opinion		
If this opinion is, as provided above written reply together, where appropriate PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration	ropriate, with anwindments	s, before the expiration	A, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA on of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form rexpires later.		
For further options, see Form PCT/					
3. For further details, see notes to For	m PCT/ISA/220.				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP		Authorized officer			
·					
		Telephone No.	•		

International application No.

PCT/FR2005/000713

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With filed.	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
	المسيبية	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	_	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed nation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
i	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	C.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
	•	tiled together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Ad	ditional comments:
		·
		•
ļ		
		• •
İ		

International application No. PCT/FR2005/000713

Box			de 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; porting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-23 ·	YES
		Claims	24-29	NO
	Inventive sep (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-29	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-29	YES
•		Claims		NO
2.	Citations and explanations:			

- i. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: WO 01/32654 A (AUVIN SERGE; CHABRIER DE LASSAUNIERE PIERRE (FR); SOD CONSEILS RECH A)

 10 May 2001 (2001-05-10)
 - D2: WO 02/40016 A (PIGNOL BERNADETTE; AUVIN SERGE (FR); CHABRIER DE LASSAUNIERE PIERRE) 23 May 2002 (2002-05-23)

ii. PCT Article 33(2)

The present application fails to comply with the requirements of PCT Article $33\,(1)$ since the subject matter of claims 24-29 does not meet the requirement of novelty defined in PCT Article $33\,(2)$.

(a) The scope of the protection sought for claims 24-29, as they are formulated, is considered to be a "first therapeutic use". Claims formulated in this way are only permitted if no prior therapeutic use exists. Therefore, any document which discloses the use of a heterocyclic derivative of formula (I) in combination with another substance with therapeutic activity, in therapy, is relevant with respect to the novelty of claims 24-29.

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- (b) In addition, in a claim which relates to a preparation of a known active substance, with a defined structure, comprising at least one substance with therapeutic activity, in which the feature "and at least one substance with therapeutic activity" means that another active ingredient is added to the active substance, the addition to the mixture of a substance with undetermined therapeutic activity cannot, given the unlimited number of substances which come into consideration; be considered to be a concrete supplement, which is distinctive in nature, given to this first active substance, as long as this feature necessary for the novelty of the invention to be recognized is not a concrete feature which allows a person skilled in the art to observe what must be added to this active substance.
- which correspond to the compounds of the present application (page 1, lines 1-10; page 2, lines 5-6; page 3, line 17 page 4, line 9; page 7, lines 1-4 and 23; page 8, lines 5-8 and 15-21; page 11, line 3 page 12, line 16; page 19, line 21 page 27, line 3; page 27, line 11 page 28, line 18; claims 12-18 and 23) and also pharmaceutical compositions comprising, as active ingredient, at least one of these compounds (page 18, line 17 page 19, line 16; claim 11). This implies that a combination of several compounds of formula (I) is also disclosed in D1. Consequently, in view of points ii(a)-(b), the subject matter of claims 24-29 is not novel with respect to D1.

iii. PCT Article 33(3)

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

(a) The problem that the present invention is intended to solve is the provision of an alternative medicament for the prevention and/or treatment of hearing loss.

The solution as proposed is the use of a phenothiazine derivative having a calpain-inhibiting activity and a reactive oxygen species-trapping activity (page 1, lines 1-6).

- (b) The present application fails to comply with the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) since the subject matter of claims 1-29, as far as it is novel, does not involve an inventive step as defined in PCT Article 33(3).
- (c) Document D2, which is considered to be the closest prior art, describes the use of a combination of calpain inhibitors and reactive oxygen species-trapping agents for the treatment of pathologies in which these enzymes and/or these free-radical species are involved, such as hearing loss (page 1, lines 1-27; page 2, lines 21-27; page 4, lines 1-12; page 12, lines 14-25; claims 1, 2, 9-12, 20 and 23). Preferably, the reactive oxygen species-trapping agents can be phenothiazines (page 6, line 11 page 7, line 12; page 11, line 28 page 12, line 2) and the calpain inhibitors can be amino acid derivatives, such as, for example, Z-Leu-Leu-H or Z-Leu-Phe-H (page 12, lines 3-13; example 2).

The subject matter of claims 1-29, as far as it is novel, differs therefrom in that a single compound, combining the calpain-inhibiting activity and the reactive oxygen species-trapping activity, is used for the same therapeutic purpose.

The problem that the present invention is intended

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

to solve can thus be considered to be that of the provision of an alternative medicament having a calpain-inhibiting activity and a reactive oxygen speciestrapping activity, for the prevention and/or treatment of hearing loss.

The solution, as proposed in independent claim 1 of the present application, is not considered to be inventive (PCT Article 33(3)) for the following reasons:

From document D1, it is known that the compounds of the present invention have a calpain-inhibiting activity and/or a reactive oxygen species-trapping activity and that they can be used for all pathologies in which ROSs and calpains are involved (see also point ii).

Consequently, it is considered that a person skilled in the art would inevitably have been led to use these compounds for the treatment of hearing loss, expecting a positive result therefrom.

In the absence of convincing arguments and/or of additional data demonstrating an unexpected and/or surprising effect related to the use of the compounds of the present application compared with that of the compounds of D2, the solution proposed in independent claim 1 cannot be considered, at present, to involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

(d) Dependent claims 2-23 and 25-29 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

International application No. PCT/FR2005/000713

Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
1. Cenai	n published documents (Rule 43bis.1 and 70.1	10)	•	
	Application No. Patent No.	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)
-				
	•			
·	,			
-				
	-		•	
				•
				•
2. Non-	written disclosures (Rule 43bis.1 and 70.9)			
	Kind of non-written disclosure	Date of non-written of day/month/ye		Date of written disclosure eferring to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)
,			•	
	•			
	-	•		
See f	form 210			
	·			

International application No.
PCT/FR2005/000713

Box No. VHI

Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The terms "at least one other substance with pharmaceutical activity", "at least one other substance with pharmaceutical activity capable of preventing and/or treating hearing loss or else of preventing and/or treating any hearing loss-associated pathologies" and "at least one substance with therapeutic activity", used in claims 21-22 and 24-29, are vague and equivocal and cast doubt on the meaning of the technical features to which they refer. The subject matter of said claims is thus not clearly defined (PCT Article 6).

In addition, claim 22 fails to comply with the requirements of PCT Article 6 in so far as the subject matter for which protection is sought has not been clearly defined. Claim 22 attempts to define said subject matter in terms of the result to be achieved, in particular "at least one other substance with pharmaceutical activity capable of preventing and/or treating hearing loss or else of preventing and/or treating any hearing loss-related pathologies", yet this merely amounts to stating the basic problem the invention is intended to solve, without providing the technical features required to achieve this result.